

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 7.5. Box 150 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 waw.us/lo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR               | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/525,486   | 02/23/2005         | Alphonsus Anthonius Jozef De Lange | NL 020788           | 4981             |
| <b>4</b>   | 590 04/17/2007     | ·EXAMINER                          |                     |                  |
| PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS<br>P.O. BOX 3001<br>BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 |                    |                                    | SCHLIE, PAUL W      |                  |
|  |                    |                                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                    | 2186                               |                     |                  |
|  |                    |                                    |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY  | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE                          | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MON  | THS                | 04/17/2007                         | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| Office Action Summary  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/525,486  | DE LANGE, ALPHONSUS<br>ANTHONIUS JOZEF                                     |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Paul W. Schlie  | 2186   |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONS IN THE MAILING DONS IN THE MAILING DONS IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE! | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2005.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u>  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 1 1 <sup>1</sup>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)[_   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)🛛   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1</u> . 6)  Other:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/525,486

Art Unit: 2186

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-14 have been examined as filed/amended 2/23/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. Claims 11-14 are rejected as the claimed inventions are directed to non-statutory subject matter. As computer software per-se is not considered statutory subject mater.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lehman (6,658,437). [Further, although not cited as the basis of the rejection, please also see Morse (5,561,786).]

As per independent claims 1, 6 and 11-14, as Lehman teaches that memory may be efficiently allocated from containers managing successive binary power of two memory segments where upon a request for memory it will be allocated from the segment at either equal to, or no more than twice as large, and correspondingly when freed, it may be coalesced with any memory remaining unallocated within the same managed memory allocation segment (see column 1 lines 18-55; and column 2-3 lines 51-9); thereby although the claims are recited in other form, all limitations are considered to be clearly implicit in that taught, or correspondingly obvious in

Application/Control Number: 10/525,486 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

combination with that well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, and thereby not patentably distinguishable over the art of record.

As per claims 2-5 and 7-10, dependent on claims 1, 6, or correspondingly dependent claim inclusively; as all limitations are considered to restate that reviewed above albeit in other form, or obvious variant thereof; all claims are correspondingly rejected as not being patentably distinguishable over the prior art of record.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Schlie whose telephone number is 571-272-6765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 517-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

